

LHC \Rightarrow need cross sections +
+ soft amplitudes &

• compute it

have QCD Feyn rules for ~ 20 years

\Rightarrow every significant SM scattering process

should have been calc'd by now

\hookrightarrow exactly needed accuracy !??!

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}} \sim 10^{30} \text{ cm}^2$$

NLO $q\bar{q} \rightarrow gg$ (jets), $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Wg$ etc

NNLO $etc \rightarrow$ Hadrons etc

bottlenecks: many cut legs

needed, e.g. QCD bg to $t\bar{t}$ production in pp coll

hadronic t-decay $t \rightarrow Wb \rightarrow q\bar{q} b$

\Rightarrow 5g jets & 6 jets!

\rightsquigarrow efficient techniques for tree+loop amplitudes needed,
as input for LO, NLO, ... & calc's

• in principle: draw all Feyn diagrams
evaluate (reduction + masters)

• in practice, extremely hard when #legs \nearrow
many legs
many forms per leg (get complicated)
many numerically want
 \Rightarrow Intermediate expressions huge
but answer simple

\rightsquigarrow lots of recent development

hot topic, see e.g. [KITP, The Harmony of Soft Amplitude, Apr-Jul 2011,
many online talks, - tutorials]

many new techniques inspired by calculations in string theory
 \rightarrow but don't require its detailed knowledge!

bases, [L. Dixon, TASI 95, Calculating Scatt. Amplitude efficiently,
 hep-ph/9601359]

QCD amplitudes, tree + 1 loop
 color + helicity decomposition
 analytic propagators, abs + pole "unitarity methods"
 recursion rel's, sum rearrangements

developments [J.N. Drummond, CERN winter school 2010, Niddan
 Singularity of G & P Regge Amplitudes, arXiv: 1010.2418]

testing ground: max. susy. YM theory ($N=4$ SYM)
 structure of Λ similar to QCD; 64 singularities
 Yangian symmetry { non-triv. (but solvable??) 4d QFT
 { gauge theory, QCD cousin
 recursion \rightarrow complete skin for Λ_{tree}
 beyond tree \rightarrow superconf. sy?

recent short intro [L. Dixon, Scattering Ampl.: the most perfect
 microscope structures in the universe,
 arXiv: 1105.0771]

QCD - $N=4$ SYM - SUSY

- Some motivation [N. Arkani-Hamed, talk at WITP 7-Apr. 11, A practical guide to the art of N=4 Amplitudology]

→ paradigm shift:

(1) enumerate principles (locality, analyticity ...)

(2) solve

(1) write down soln

(2) deduce principles from understanding its structure

ex massless ϕ^3 , tree-level 4-particle amplitude

$$A = \frac{105^2}{\epsilon^4} + \frac{205}{\epsilon} + 12 \tan\epsilon - \frac{11}{3} \stackrel{\text{substitute}}{\equiv} -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{4}$$

→ need "good" variables!

partons w/ spins; spinor helicity vars, δ_i ; $\langle ij \rangle$, $[ij]$

ex spin-1: helicity ± 1 , 2 phys states

NHV amplitude (planar, color-ordered, parton, tree level)

$$\begin{array}{c} + \\ \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \\ + \end{array} = \frac{\langle ij \rangle^4}{(12)(23)\dots(n1)}$$

[Parke-Taylor 86, Bern et al 88]

→ BCJ recursion rels

→ simplicity gets discovered:

perturbative data + universal representation

→ twistor space etc: N^k NHV [Cachazo, Topological string theory]

ex found symmetries among it's (dual conformal sq)

→ understanding at level of \mathcal{L}^2 ?

Yangian symmetry; Grassmann integral; ..

$$A = \int d^4 p_1 \dots d^4 p_n \sum \text{Feynman diagrams}$$

→ still very hard, BUT → rel. simple integrand (existing new technology)

ex DDS remember fit ($N=4$ SYM, 2-loop, 6 legs, NHV)

[Del Duca/Dixon/Sborlini arXiv:1003.1702, pg 99-116] $\mathcal{R}_{6,6}^{(2)}(u_1, u_2, u_3)$

⇒ Goncharov/Sorin/Veronelli 1006.5703. 1 line! "hope where there was none"

The Two-Loop Hexagon Wilson Loop in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Vittorio Del Duca
*PH Department, TH Unit, CERN CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland
 INFN, Laboratori Nazionali Frascati, 00044 Frascati (Roma), Italy
 E-mail: vittorio.del.duca@cern.ch*

Claude Dühr
*Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, University of Durham,
 Durham, DH1 3LE, U.K.
 E-mail: claude.duhr@durham.ac.uk*

Vladimir A. Smirnov
*Nuclear Physics Institute of Moscow State University
 Moscow 119899, Russia*

*Moscow 119292, Russia
E-mail: smirnov@theory.sinp.msu.ru*

ABSTRACT: In the planar $N=4$ supersymmetric theory constraints multi-loop n -ended Wilson-loops

metry constrains multi-loop n -edged V- n -edged Wilson loop, augmented, for n ratios. That function is termed the n

ratios. That function is termed the res-

corresponding remainder function. Although the calculation was in the quasi-mono-Regge kinematics of a pair along the ladder, the Regge exactness of the six-edged Wilson loop in those kinematics entails that the result is the same as in general kinematics. We show in detail how the most difficult of the integrals is computed, which contribute to the six-edged Wilson loop. Finally, the remainder function is given as a function of uniform transcendent weight four in terms of Goschke polynomials. We consider also some asymptotic values of the remainder function, and the value when all the cross ratios are equal.

Keywords: QCD, MSYM, small x .

99

- 100 -

- 101 -

- 102 -

- 103 -

222

10

Classical Polylogarithms for Amplitudes and Wilson Loops

A. B. Goncharov,¹ M. Spradlin,² C. Vergu,² and A. Volovich²

¹Department of Mathematics, Brown University, Box 1917, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA
²Department of Physics, Brown University, Box 1843, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA

We present a compact analytic formula for the two-loop six-particle maximally helicity violating remainder function (equivalently, the two-loop lightlike hexagon Wilson loop) in $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in terms of the classical polylogarithm functions Li_n with cross-ratios of momentum twistor invariants as their arguments. In deriving our formula we rely on results from the theory of motives.

INTRODUCTION

Wilson loop diagrams to obtain an analytic expression for $R_6^{(2)}$ as a 17-page linear combination of generalized polylogarithm functions [16, 17] (see also [18]).

The motivation for the present work is the belief that if SYM theory is really as beautiful and rich as recent developments indicate, then there must exist a more enlightening way of expressing the remainder function $R_6^{(2)}$. Ideally, like the Parke-Taylor formula at tree level, the expression should provide encouragement and guidance as we seek deeper understanding of SYM at loop level.

We present our new formula for $R_6^{(2)}$ in the next section and then describe the algorithm by which it was obtained.

THE REMAINDER FUNCTION $R_6^{(2)}$

The remainder function $R_6^{(2)}$ is usually presented as a function of the three dual conformal cross-ratios

$$u_1 = \frac{s_{12}s_{45}}{s_{13}s_{24}}, \quad u_2 = \frac{s_{23}s_{56}}{s_{24}s_{35}}, \quad u_3 = \frac{s_{34}s_{61}}{s_{35}s_{46}}, \quad (1)$$

of the momentum invariants $s_{i,j} = (k_i + \dots + k_j)^2$, though we will see shortly that cross-ratios of momentum twistor invariants are more natural variables. In terms of

$$x_i^\pm = u_i x^\pm, \quad x^\pm = \frac{u_1 + u_2 + u_3 - 1 \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2u_1 u_2 u_3}, \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta = (u_1 + u_2 + u_3 - 1)^2 - 4u_1 u_2 u_3$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} R_6^{(2)}(u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\text{Li}_4(x_i^\pm, x_i^-) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_4(1 - 1/u_i) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \text{Li}_2(1 - 1/u_i) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{24} J^4 + \frac{\pi^2}{12} J^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{72}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here we use the functions

$$J_4(x^\pm, x^-) = \frac{1}{8!} \log(x^\pm x^-)^4$$

$$\begin{aligned} + \sum_{m=0}^3 \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m)!} \log(x^\pm x^-)^m (\ell_{4-m}(x^\pm) + \ell_{4-m}(x^-)) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\ell_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Li}_n(x) - (-1)^n \text{Li}_n(1/x)), \quad (5)$$

as well as the quantity

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\ell_1(x_i^\pm) - \ell_1(x_i^-)). \quad (6)$$

Note that in the Euclidean region where all $u_i > 0$, the x_i^\pm never enter the lower half-plane and the x_i^- never enter the upper half-plane. The expression (3) is valid in the Euclidean region with the understanding that the branch cuts of $\text{Li}_n(x_i^\pm)$ and $\text{Li}_n(1/x_i^\pm)$ are taken to lie below the real axis while the branch cuts of $\text{Li}_n(x_i^-)$ and $\text{Li}_n(1/x_i^-)$ are taken to lie above the real axis. (The quantities $x_i^\pm x_i^-$ appearing as arguments of the logs are always positive.) In writing (3) extreme care has necessarily been taken to ensure the proper analytic structure. For example one can easily check that J naively simplifies to $\frac{1}{2} \log(x^-/x^+)$, but this relation only holds in the regions $\Delta > 0$ or $u_1 + u_2 + u_3 < 1$. We caution the reader that any attempt to use any such naive relations, including the well-known relation between $\text{Li}_n(1/x)$ and $\text{Li}_n(x)$, without careful consideration of the branch structure, voids our warranty on (3).

Besides its great simplicity, two notable features of (3) which set it apart from the DDS formula are manifest symmetry under any permutation of the u_i , and the fact that the expression is valid and readily evaluated for all positive u_i , in particular also outside the unit cube.

DESCRIPTION OF THE ALGORITHM

A Convenient Choice of Variables

We define a function T_k of transcendental degree

$$T_k = \int_a^b d \log R_1 \circ \dots \circ d \log R_k, \quad (10)$$

where a and b are rational numbers, $R_i(t)$ are rational functions with rational coefficients and the iterated integrals are defined recursively by

$$\int_a^b d \log R_1 \circ \dots \circ d \log R_n = \int_a^b \left(\int_a^t d \log R_1 \circ \dots \circ d \log R_{n-1} \right) d \log R_n(t). \quad (11)$$

The integrals are taken along paths from a to b . When the R_k are rational functions in several variables the issue of local path independence (or homotopy invariance) is important see [22], and we have checked that $R_6^{(2)}$ has this property. A useful quantity associated with T_k is its symbol, an element of the k -fold tensor product of the multiplicative group of rational functions modulo constants (see [22, sec. 3]). The symbol of the function shown in (10) is

$$\text{symbol}(T_k) = R_1 \otimes \dots \otimes R_k, \quad (12)$$

This large collection of variables is redundant in an inefficient way, with many rather complicated algebraic identities amongst them.

Our computation is greatly facilitated by a judicious choice of variables which trivializes all of these algebraic relations. We choose to express the three u_i by six variables z_i valued in \mathbb{P}^1 (with an $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ redundancy) via

$$u_1 = \frac{z_{23} z_{46}}{z_{25} z_{36}}, \quad u_2 = \frac{z_{16} z_{24}}{z_{14} z_{26}}, \quad u_3 = \frac{z_{12} z_{45}}{z_{14} z_{25}}, \quad (8)$$

where $z_{ij} = z_i - z_j$. One virtue of these coordinates is that Δ becomes a perfect square, so that the u_{jk}^\pm are rational functions of the z_{ij} . (The z_{jk}^\pm completely drop out as explained in the following subsection.)

We anticipate that for general n the best variables for studying the remainder function will be the momentum twistors of [21]. Indeed the z variables may be thought of as a particular simplification of momentum twistors which is valid for the special case $n = 6$ via the relation $(\det \epsilon) \propto z_{ab} z_{ac} z_{ad} z_{bc} z_{bd} z_{cd}$. In terms of momentum twistors

$$u_1 = \frac{(1234)(4561)}{(1245)(3451)}, \quad x_1^\pm = -\frac{(1456)(2356)}{(1256)(3456)} \quad \text{etc.} \quad (9)$$

The Symbol of a Transcendental Function

We define a function T_k of transcendental degree k as one which can be written as a linear combination (with rational coefficients) of k -fold iterated integrals of the form

$$T_k = \int_a^b d \log R_1 \circ \dots \circ d \log R_k, \quad (10)$$

The DDS formula is expressed in terms of the classical polylogarithms Li_n as well as a collection of considerably more complicated multi-parameter generalizations studied by one of the authors [19] and defined recursively by

$$G(a_k, a_{k-1}, \dots, z) = \int_0^z G(a_{k-1}, \dots, t) \frac{dt}{t - a_k}. \quad (7)$$

with $G(z) \equiv 1$, of which the harmonic polylogarithms familiar in the physics literature [20] are special cases.

The parameters of the various transcendental functions which appear in the DDS formula involve not just the cross-ratios (1), but also the more complicated combinations $1 - u_i, (1 - u_i)/(1 - u_j), u_i + u_j, u_{jk}^\pm = \frac{1 - u_i - u_j \pm i\sqrt{\Delta}}{2(1 - u_i)u_j}$, and $v_{jk}^\pm = \frac{u_k - u_j \pm \sqrt{(u_k + u_j)^2 - 4u_k u_j u_i}}{2(1 - u_j)u_i}$. This large collection of variables is redundant in an inefficient way, with many rather complicated algebraic identities amongst them.