

6. "Anomalies"

[Peskin/Schroeder §19; Zee §IV.7]

→ Q.: symmetry of classical physics $\hat{=}$ symmetry of quantum physics?
 (action $S[\phi]$ invariant under $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \delta\phi$) (path integral $\int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{iS[\phi]}$ invariant)

→ A.: not always; measure $\mathcal{D}\phi$ may or may not be invariant.

example: rotational invariance of QM
 would be strange if quantum fluctuations preferred a specific direction!

but: quantum fluctuation can break (some) classical symmetries;
 this phenomenon is called "anomaly";

conceptually clear: change of integration variables \rightarrow don't forget Jacobian
 important subject in QFT \rightarrow many ways of looking at it
 here: see a class. symmetry vanishing, by explicit Feynman diag calc.

- theory of one massless fermion: $\mathcal{L}_1 \equiv \bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi$
 invariant under $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \psi$ and $\bar{\psi} \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \bar{\psi}$
 conserved current $J^\mu \equiv \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$ (vector) and $J_5^\mu \equiv \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi$ (axial)

((check: $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0 = \partial_\mu J_5^\mu$ via class. eqn. of motion $i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = 0$))

- calculate (Fourier transform of) amplitude $\langle 0 | T J_5^\lambda(0) J^\mu(x_1) J^\nu(x_2) | 0 \rangle$

$$\Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(k_1, k_2) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr} \left(\gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \frac{i}{\not{p} - \not{k}_1} \gamma^\nu \frac{i}{\not{p} - \not{k}_2} \gamma^\mu \frac{i}{\not{p}} + \gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \frac{i}{\not{p}} \gamma^\mu \frac{i}{\not{p} - \not{k}_1} \gamma^\nu \frac{i}{\not{p} - \not{k}_2} \right)$$

$q = k_1 + k_2$

if (in QFT) $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$ holds, then $k_{1\mu} \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0$ and $k_{2\nu} \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0$
 \therefore $\partial_\mu J_5^\mu = 0$ holds, then $q_\lambda \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0$
 \rightarrow can check this by explicit computation.

6.1 Vector current conservation

- would non-conservation of either current be a disaster?

- J^μ : charge $Q = \int d^3x J^0$ counts # of fermions
 → would be difficult to interpret if not conserved!
 couple photon to ψ , photon line coming into vertex γ^μ
 would have prop $\frac{-i}{k^2} (\partial_{\mu\nu} - (1-\xi) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2})$
 → gauge dependence falls out if $b_{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\mu\nu} = 0$

- J_5^μ : who care if axial charge $Q_5 = \int d^3x J_5^0$ changes in time?

- naive calculation

$$b_{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\mu\nu}(b_1, b_2) = i \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr} \left(\gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{q}} \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{k}_1} \frac{1}{\not{p}} + \gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{q}} \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{k}_2} \frac{1}{\not{p}} \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{\not{p}} \right)$$

$$= i \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr} \left(\gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{q}} \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{k}_1} - \gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{k}_2} \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{\not{p}} \right)$$

shift $p \rightarrow p - b_1$

= 0

- more careful calculation

what is it due to shift integration variables?

1dim: $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp [f(p+a) - f(p)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp [a \partial_p f(p) + \mathcal{O}(a^2)] = a (f(\infty) - f(-\infty)) + \mathcal{O}(a^2)$

d dim: $\int d^d p_E [f(p+a) - f(p)] = \int d^d p_E [a^\mu \partial_\mu f(p) + \dots] \stackrel{\text{Gauss}}{=} \lim_{P \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle a^\mu \left(\frac{P_\mu}{P} \right) f(P) S_{d-1}(P) \right\rangle$
 ($\frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} P^{d-1}$) "surface" of d dim sphere, radius P

for our 4 dim dimensional integral, from Wick rot.

$$\int d^4 p [f(p+a) - f(p)] = \lim_{P \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle i a^\mu \left(\frac{P_\mu}{P} \right) f(P) (2\pi^2 P^3) \right\rangle$$

angular average

use this for $a = -b_1$

and $f(p) = \text{tr} \left(\gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{k}_2} \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{\not{p}} \right) = \frac{\text{tr}(\gamma^5 (\not{p}-\not{k}_2) \gamma^\nu \not{p} \gamma^\lambda)}{(p-k_2)^2 p^2} \stackrel{\text{dec?}}{=} \frac{4i \epsilon^{\tau\nu\sigma\lambda} b_{2\tau} p_\sigma}{(p-k_2)^2 p^2}$

$$\Rightarrow b_{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\mu\nu}(k_1, k_2) = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4} \lim_{P \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle i (-b_1)^\mu \frac{P_\mu}{P} \frac{4i \epsilon^{\tau\nu\sigma\lambda} b_{2\tau} P_\sigma}{(P-k_2)^2 P^2} 2\pi^2 P^3 \right\rangle$$

($\langle P_\mu P_\nu \rangle = \frac{2\pi^2}{4} P^2 \delta_{\mu\nu}$) $\Rightarrow \frac{i}{8\pi^2} b_1^\mu \epsilon^{\tau\nu\sigma\lambda} g_{\mu\sigma} b_{2\tau} = \frac{\epsilon}{8\pi^2} \epsilon^{\lambda\nu\tau\sigma} b_{1\tau} b_{2\sigma}$

$\Rightarrow b_{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$?! \Downarrow fermion # not conserved, we disintegrate

$\left(\int \text{tr} \gamma^{\nu\sigma\lambda\tau} \right) = 0$

- reason for above result: Δ is linearly divergent!
 \Rightarrow have to make sure (even before calculating b_4)
 that integral is well-defined (i.e. its value does not depend on the physicist doing the calculation)

freedom of choice to label internal (loop) momentum:

$$\Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(a, b_1, b_2) \equiv \text{triangle diagram with } p_5 \text{ and } p_{5a} \text{ labels} + \text{triangle diagram with } p_{5a} \text{ and } p_5 \text{ labels} = \text{triangle diagram with } p_5 \text{ and } p_{5a} \text{ labels} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \\ b_1 \leftrightarrow b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

but which a to choose?

only sensible answer: choose a such that $b_{1\mu} \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(a, b_1, b_2) = 0 = b_{2\nu} \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}$

compute $\Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(a, b_1, b_2) - \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(b_1, b_2)$ with above "careful" way:

use $f(p) = \text{tr} \left(\gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{a}} \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{\not{p}-\not{b}_1} \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{\not{p}} \right)$

note $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} f(p) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{tr}(\gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \not{p} \gamma^\nu \not{p} \gamma^\mu \not{p})}{p^6} \stackrel{\text{(check!?)}}{=} \frac{-4i p^\sigma p_\sigma \varepsilon^{\sigma\nu\mu\lambda}}{p^6}$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(a, b_1, b_2) - \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(b_1, b_2) = \frac{4i}{8\pi^2} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle a^\sigma \frac{p_\nu p_\sigma}{p^2} \varepsilon^{\sigma\nu\mu\lambda} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \\ b_1 \leftrightarrow b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{i}{8\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\sigma\nu\mu\lambda} a_\sigma + \begin{pmatrix} \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \\ b_1 \leftrightarrow b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

in general, $a = \alpha(b_1 + b_2) + \beta(b_1 - b_2)$ are all possible shifts

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(a, b_1, b_2) = \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(b_1, b_2) + \frac{i\beta}{4\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma} (b_1 - b_2)_\sigma$$

(α dropped out due to antisym of ε)

$$\Rightarrow b_{1\mu} \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(a, b_1, b_2) = \underbrace{b_{1\mu} \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(b_1, b_2)}_{= \frac{i}{8\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\lambda\sigma\tau\sigma} b_{1\tau} b_{2\sigma} \text{ (see p. 73)}} + \frac{i\beta}{4\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma} (b_{1\mu} b_{1\sigma} - b_{1\mu} b_{2\sigma})$$

$$\stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$$

- note: Feynman rules are not sufficient to compute $\langle 0 | T \bar{J}_5^{\lambda}(0) J^{\mu}(x_1) J^{\nu}(x_2) | 0 \rangle$
 have to supplement them by vector current conservation!
 \rightarrow amplitude $\langle 0 | T \bar{J}_5 J J | 0 \rangle$ is defined by $\Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}(\alpha(b_1 + b_2) - \frac{1}{2}(b_1 - b_2), b_1, b_2)$